Artificial Intelligence in Tourism: Croatian Consumers' Perception and Attitudes

Pisker, Barbara; Radman-Funarić, Mirjana; Kukina, Hrvoje

Source / Izvornik: Economic and Social Development 112th International Scientific Conference on Economic and Social Development - "Creating a unified foundation for Sustainable Development: Interdisciplinarity in Research and Education" Book of Proceedings, 2024, 117 - 125

Conference paper / Rad u zborniku

Publication status / Verzija rada: Published version / Objavljena verzija rada (izdavačev PDF)

Permanent link / Trajna poveznica: https://urn.nsk.hr/urn:nbn:hr:277:037421

Rights / Prava: In copyright/Zaštićeno autorskim pravom.

Download date / Datum preuzimanja: 2025-02-02



Repository / Repozitorij:

FTRR Repository - Repository of Faculty Tourism and Rural Development Pozega



Varazdin Development and Entrepreneurship Agency and University North in cooperation with

Faculty of Management University of Warsaw

Faculty of Law, Economics and Social Sciences Sale - Mohammed V University in Rabat ENCGT - Ecole Nationale de Commerce et de Gestion de Tanger - Abdelmalek Essaadi University HAZU - Institute for Scientific Work in Varazdin GOVCOPP - University of Aveiro



Economic and Social Development

112th International Scientific Conference on Economic and Social Development –
"Creating a unified foundation for Sustainable Development: Interdisciplinarity in Research and Education"

Book of Proceedings

Editors:

Bordin Rassameethes, Sasivimol Meeampol, Pornlapas Suwannarat



Varazdin, 4-5 June, 2024

Varazdin Development and Entrepreneurship Agency and University North

in cooperation with

Faculty of Management University of Warsaw
Faculty of Law, Economics and Social Sciences Sale - Mohammed V University in Rabat
ENCGT - Ecole Nationale de Commerce et de Gestion de Tanger - Abdelmalek Essaadi University
HAZU - Institute for Scientific Work in Varazdin
GOVCOPP - University of Aveiro


Editors:

Bordin Rassameethes, Kasetsart University, Thailand Sasivimol Meeampol, Kasetsart University, Thailand Pornlapas Suwannarat, Kasetsart University, Thailand

Economic and Social Development

112th International Scientific Conference on Economic and Social Development –
"Creating a unified foundation for Sustainable Development: Interdisciplinarity in Research and Education"

Book of Proceedings











Title ■ Economic and Social Development (Book of Proceedings), 112th International Scientific Conference on Economic and Social Development - "Creating a unified foundation for Sustainable Development: Interdisciplinarity in Research and Education"

Editors ■ Bordin Rassameethes, Sasivimol Meeampol, Pornlapas Suwannarat

Scientific Committee / Programski Odbor ■ Marijan Cingula (President) – In Memoriam, 04.06.2024, University of Zagreb, Croatia; Sannur Aliyev, Azerbaijan State University of Economics, Azerbaijan; Ayuba A. Aminu, University of Maiduguri, Nigeria; Anona Armstrong, Victoria University, Australia; Gouri Sankar Bandyopadhyay, The University of Burdwan, Rajbati Bardhaman, India; Haimanti Banerji, Indian Institute of Technology, Kharagpur, India; Victor Beker, University of Buenos Aires, Argentina; Asmae Benthami, Mohammed V University, Morocco; Alla Bobyleva, The Lomonosov Moscow State University, Russia; Leonid K. Bobrov, State University of Economics and Management, Novosibirsk, Russia; Rado Bohinc, University of Ljubljana, Slovenia; Marlene Paula Castro Amorim, University of Aveiro, Portugal; Adnan Celik, Selcuk University, Konya, Turkey; Angelo Maia Cister, Federal University of Rio de Janeiro, Brasil; Mirela Cristea, University of Craiova, Romania; Amelia Cristina Ferreira da Silva, Polytechnic of Porto, Portugal; Taoufik Daghri, Mohammed V University, Morocco; Oguz Demir, Istanbul Commerce University, Turkey; T.S. Devaraja, University of Mysore, India; Onur Dogan, Dokuz Eylul University, Turkey; Darko Dukic, University of Osijek, Croatia; Gordana Dukic, University of Osijek, Croatia; Alba Dumi, Vlora University, Vlore, Albania; Galina Pavlovna Gagarinskaya, Samara State University, Russia; Mirjana Gligoric, Faculty of Economics - Belgrade University, Serbia; Mustafa Goktug Kaya, KTO Karatay University, Turkey; Maria Jose Angelico Goncalves, Porto Accounting and Business School - P.Porto, Portugal; Mehmet Emre Gorgulu, Afyon Kocatepe University, Turkey; Klodiana Gorica, University of Tirana, Albania; Aleksandra Grobelna, Gdynia Maritime University, Poland; Liudmila Guzikova, Peter the Great Saint-Petersburg Polytechnic University, Russia; Anica Hunjet, University North, Koprivnica, Croatia; Khalid Hammes, Mohammed V University, Morocco; Oxana Ivanova, Ulyanovsk State University, Ulyanovsk, Russia; Irena Jankovic, Faculty of Economics, Belgrade University, Serbia; Myrl Jones, Radford University, USA; Hacer Simay Karaalp, Pamukkale University, Turkey; Dafna Kariv, The College of Management Academic Studies, Rishon Le Zion, Israel; Hilal Yildirir Keser, Uludag University, Bursa, Turkey; Sophia Khalimova, Institute of Economics and Industrial Engineering of Siberian Branch of Russian Academy of Science, Novosibirsk, Russia; Marina Klacmer Calopa, University of Zagreb, Croatia; Igor Klopotan, Medimurje University of Applied Sciences in Cakovec, Croatia; Vladimir Kovsca, University of Zagreb, Croatia; Goran Kozina, University North, Koprivnica, Croatia; Dzenan Kulovic, University of Zenica, Bosnia and Herzegovina; Petar Kurecic, University North, Croatia; Robert Lewis, Les Roches Gruyere University of Applied Sciences, Bulle, Switzerland; Ladislav Lukas, Univ. of West Bohemia, Faculty of Economics, Czech Republic; Mustapha Machrafi, Mohammed V University, Morocco; Joao Jose Lourenco Marques, University of Aveiro, Portugal; Pascal Marty, University of La Rochelle, France; Vaidotas Matutis, Vilnius University, Lithuania; Daniel Francois Meyer, North West University, South Africa; Marin Milkovic, University North, Koprivnica, Croatia; Abdelhamid Nechad, ENCGT- Abdelmalek Essaadi University, Morocco; Gratiela Georgiana Noja, West University of Timisoara, Romania; Zsuzsanna Novak, Corvinus University of Budapest, Hungary; Tomasz Ochinowski, University of Warsaw, Poland; Barbara Herceg Paksic, University of Osijek, Croatia; Vera Palea, Universita degli Studi di Torino, Italy; Dusko Pavlovic, Libertas International University, Zagreb, Croatia; Igor Pihir, University of Zagreb, Croatia; Dmitri Pletnev, Chelyabinsk State University, Russian Federation; Miroslaw Przygoda, University of Warsaw, Poland; Karlis Purmalis, University of Latvia, Latvia; Nicholas Recker, Metropolitan State University of Denver, USA; Kerry Redican, Virginia Tech, Blacksburg, USA; Douglas Rhein, Mahidol University International College, Thailand; Humberto Ribeiro, University of Aveiro, Portugal; Robert Rybnicek, University of Graz, Austria; Elzbieta Szymanska, Bialystok University of Technology, Poland; Katarzyna Szymanska, The State Higher School of Vocational Education in Ciechanow, Poland; Ilaria Tutore, University of Naples Parthenope, Italy; Sandra Raquel Alves, Polytechnic of Leiria, Portugal; Joanna Stawska, University of Lodz, Poland; Ilko Vrankic, University of Zagreb, Croatia; Stanislaw Walukiewicz, Bialystok University of Technology, Poland; Thomas Will, Agnes Scott College, USA; Li Yongqiang, Victoria University, Australia; Peter Zabielskis, University of Macau, China; Silvija Zeman, Medimurje University of Applied Sciences in Cakovec, Croatia; Tao Zeng, Wilfrid Laurier University, Waterloo, Canada; Snezana Zivkovic, University of Nis, Serbia.

Review Committee / Recenzentski Odbor Marina Klacmer Calopa (President); Ana Aleksic; Sandra Raquel Alves; Ayuba Aminu; Mihovil Andjelinovic; Josip Arneric; Lidija Bagaric; Tomislav Bakovic; Sanja Blazevic; Leonid Bobrov; Ruzica Brecic; Anita Ceh Casni; Iryna Chernysh; Mirela Cristea; Oguz Demir; Stjepan Dvorski; Robert Fabac; Ivica Filipovic; Sinisa Franjic; Fran Galetic; Mirjana Gligoric; Tomislav Globan; Anita Goltnik Urnaut; Tomislav Herceg; Irena Jankovic; Emina Jerkovic; Dafna Kariv; Oliver Kesar; Hilal Yildirir Keser; Martina Dragija Kostic; Tatjana Kovac; Vladimir Kovsca; Angelo Maia Cister; Katarina Marosevic; Vaidotas Matutis; Marjana Merkac Skok; Daniel Francois Meyer; Natanya Meyer; Josip Mikulic; Ivana Miklosevic; Ljubica Milanovic Glavan; Guenter Mueller; Ivana Nacinovic Braje; Zlatko Nedelko; Gratiela Georgiana Noja; Zsuzsanna Novak; Alka Obadic; Claudia Ogrean; Igor Pihir; Najla Podrug; Vojko Potocan; Dinko Primorac; Zeljka Primorac; Sanda Renko; Humberto Ribeiro; Vlasta Roska; Souhaila Said; Armando Javier Sanchez Diaz; Tomislav Sekur; Lorena Skuflic; Mirko Smoljic; Petar Soric; Mario Spremic; Matjaz Stor; Tomasz Studzieniecki; Lejla Tijanic; Daniel Tomic; Boris Tusek; Rebeka Daniela Vlahov; Ilko Vrankic; Thomas Will; Zoran Wittine; Tao Zeng; Grzegorz Zimon; Snezana Zivkovic; Berislav Zmuk.

Organizing Committee / **Organizacijski Odbor** ■ Domagoj Cingula (President); Djani Bunja; Marina Klacmer Calopa; Spomenko Kesina; Erlino Koscak; Tihomir Lukovic; Ivana Miklosevic; Tomasz Ochinowski; Ivan Peronja; Miroslaw Przygoda; Ante Roncevic; Michael Stefulj; Tomasz Studzieniecki; Rebeka Danijela Vlahov; Sime Vucetic.

Publishing Editor ■ Spomenko Kesina, Domagoj Cingula

Publisher ■ Design ■ Print ■ Varazdin Development and Entrepreneurship Agency, Varazdin, Croatia / University North, Koprivnica, Croatia / Faculty of Management University of Warsaw, Warsaw, Poland / Faculty of Law, Economics and Social Sciences Sale - Mohammed V University in Rabat, Morocco / ENCGT - Ecole Nationale de Commerce et de Gestion de Tanger - Abdelmalek Essaadi University, Tangier, Morocco / HAZU - Institute for Scientific Work in Varazdin, Varazdin, Croatia / GOVCOPP - University of Aveiro, Aveiro, Portugal

Printing ■ Online Edition

ISSN 1849-7535

The Book is open access and double-blind peer reviewed.

Our past Books are indexed and abstracted by ProQuest, EconBIZ, CPCI (Web of Science) and EconLit databases and available for download in a PDF format from the Economic and Social Development Conference website: http://www.esd-conference.com

© 2024 Varazdin Development and Entrepreneurship Agency, Varazdin, Croatia; University North, Koprivnica, Croatia; Faculty of Management University of Warsaw, Warsaw, Poland; Faculty of Law, Economics and Social Sciences Sale - Mohammed V University in Rabat, Morocco; ENCGT - Ecole Nationale de Commerce et de Gestion de Tanger - Abdelmalek Essaadi University, Tangier, Morocco; HAZU - Institute for Scientific Work in Varazdin, Varazdin, Croatia; GOVCOPP - University of Aveiro, Aveiro, Portugal. All rights reserved. Authors are responsible for the linguistic and technical accuracy of their contributions. Authors keep their copyrights for further publishing.

CONTENTS

| INTERNATIONAL COMPETITIVENESS OF EU IN THE AGE OF THE FOURTH INDUSTRIAL REVOLUTION1 |
|--|
| Tomislav Galovic, Petar Misevic, Davorin Balaz |
| THE RIGHT OF USUFRUCT IN CONTEMPORARY BUSINESS ACTIVITIES 12 Maja Proso, Sara Lozancic |
| THE EUROPEAN SUSTAINABLE FINANCE MARKET – DEVELOPMENTS AND TRENDS |
| BUILDING SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT OF THE METALLURGICAL COMPANIES IN SLOVAKIA |
| CREATING VALUE AND UTILITY FOR USERS OF DIGITAL PUBLIC SERVICES - ATTITUDES AND PERCEPTION OF CITIZENS |
| MARKETING STRATEGY IN TOURISM PRESENTED ON THE CROATIAN HOTEL INDUSTRY MODEL |
| THE UNMENTIONABLES OF DEPRIVATION MEASUREMENT: THE CASE OF AFRICA |
| COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF HEALTH FINANCING MECHANISMS IN SELECTED EUROPEAN UNION MEMBER STATES |
| CULTURAL CORRIDORS AND LITERARY TOURISM |
| FINANCIAL ANALYSIS OF E-COMMERCE COMPANIES: APPLYING HARVARD BUSINESS SCHOOL FRAMEWORK |
| ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE IN TOURISM: CROATIAN CONSUMERS' PERCEPTION AND ATTITUDES |
| THE IMPACT OF EMPLOYEE SATISFACTION ON ORGANIZATIONAL PRODUCTIVITY |

| THE ROLE OF THE ISLAMIC DIGITAL ECONOMY IN THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE HALAL INDUSTRY138 |
|--|
| Mustafa Goktug Kaya, Perihan Hazel Kaya |
| HYBRIDIZATION IN EDUCATION, OPPORTUNITIES & LIMITATIONS149 Khalid Lahlou |
| BASIC STAGES OF DIGITAL TRANSFORMATION |
| IMPLEMENTATION OF BLOCKCHAIN TECHNOLOGY IN MANAGERIAL ACCOUNTING |
| Sandra Sokcevic, Hrvoje Volarevic, Romana Milenkovic |
| THE RESISTANCE CAPACITY OF THE EUROPEAN MONETARY UNION TO SYMMETRY SHOCKS AND THE POSSIBILITY OF ESTABLISHING AN OPTIMUM CURRENCY AREA |
| ASSERTIVE COMMUNICATION OF HEALTHCARE PROFESSIONALS EMPLOYED IN THE REPUBLIC OF CROATIA |
| THE GEOECONOMY OF INTERNATIONAL AID IN AFRICA |
| SOCIAL DEVELOPMENT AND THE CONTRIBUTION OF SCIENCE |
| LOCAL COMMUNITY PERSPECTIVES ON TOURISM AND MUSIC FESTIVALS: THE CASE OF THE SEA STAR MUSIC FESTIVAL |
| DIGITAL TRANSFORMATION IN ACCOUNTING: THE PERCEPTION OF PORTUGUESE ACCOUNTANTS |
| SOCIAL TRANSFORMATIONS AND SOCIAL PROGRAMMING251 Venelin Terziev, Marin Georgiev |
| ENVIRONMENT QUALITY AND ECONOMIC GROWTH IN MOROCCO257 Badr Machrafi, Mustapha Machrafi |
| DIGITIZATION AS A TOOL OF STRATEGIC DEVELOPMENT OF COMPANIES IN THE FUNCTION OF CREATING SOCIAL RESPONSIBILITY |
| PREPAREDNESS OF THE GLOBAL HEALTH SYSTEM FOR NEW DISASTERS RELATED TO NATURAL HAZZARDS |

| CONSUMER PERSONAL CHARACTERISTICS AS PREDICTORS OF INTERNET BANKING ADOPTION290 |
|---|
| Sandra Pepur, Mario Pepur, Rea Tvrdic |
| SOCIAL ASSISTANCE SYSTEM SETTINGS TO SUPPORT FLOOD VICTIMS IN BULGARIA |
| Nikolay Ninov, Valentina Ninova |
| NEW SOCIAL TRANSFORMATIONS AND CHANGES IN SOCIETIES311 Venelin Terziev, Marin Georgiev |
| LEGAL REGULATION OF CORPORATE PROTECTION OF LABOR RIGHTS - FROM SOFT LAW INSTRUMENTS, THROUGH NATIONAL LEGISLATION TO THE DUE DILIGENCE DIRECTIVE |
| IMPACT OF INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RIGHTS PROTECTION ON ECONOMIC GROWTH |
| TEAM EFFECTIVENESS OF VIRTUAL AND FACE-TO-FACE STUDENT TEAMS: THE ROLE OF TEAM CONFLICT |
| EUROPEAN APPROACHES TO THE DEVELOPMENT OF CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORKS FOR ESG POLICY |
| MAPPING THE NEEDS OF THE TOURISM DESTINATION FROM BUSINESSES' PERSPECTIVES - VLORA REGION DESTINATION |
| EFFICIENCY OF MOTOR HULL INSURANCE IN CROATIA INSURANCE INDUSTRY |
| EUROPEAN PUBLIC POLICY AND E-INCLUSION: A QUALITATIVE COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS |
| DETERMINATION OF THE REAL ESTATE TRANSFER TAX BASIS IN RELATION TO DATABASE FORMATION |
| MEASURING ENTREPRENURIAL TRAITS OF OWNERS OF SMALL FAMILY HOTELS426 |
| Ivana Bujan Katanec |
| THE CONTRIBUTION OF BUSINESS INTELLIGENCE TO DIGITAL STRATEGIC COMMUNICATION: A CASE STUDY |

| EXPERIENCES AS A PART OF EXPERIENCE ECONOMY453 Aljosa Vitasovic |
|--|
| ETHICS IN ADVERTISING: PERCEPTION OF CROATIAN CONSUMERS 466 Diana Plantic Tadic |
| ECONOMIC AND SOCIAL ASPECTS OF UNACCOMPANIED CHILDREN MIGRATION IN EUROPE |
| A PROPOSED MODEL FOR EVALUATING THE EXPLANATORY VALUE OF BANKS' QUANTITATIVE CREDIT-RISK DISCLOSURES UNDER IFRS-9 487 Ronald Nhleko, Daniel Schutte |
| ENHANCING LANGUAGE LEARNING THROUGH INSTITUTIONALIZED TRANSMEDIA STORYTELLING: INNOVATIONS IN EDUCATION AND KNOWLEDGE MANAGEMENT |
| RASPBERRY PI APPLICATIONS IN TEACHING PRACTICES: A SYSTEMATIC |
| Melani Kitic, Durdica Vukic, Nikola Radelja |
| THE ROLE OF INTERPERSONAL SKILLS IN EFFECTIVE MANAGEMENT 521 Petra Modric, Jasminka Samardzija, Vanja Vejzagic KNOWLEDGE TRANSFER THROUGH DIGITAL EDUCATIONAL CONTENTS IN |
| MODERN EDUCATION |
| THE INFLUENCE OF BUSINESS INTELLIGENCE ON THE QUALITY OF BUSINESS DECISION-MAKING |
| WORKPLACE MOTIVATION ACROSS X, Y, AND Z GENERATIONS: AN ORGANIZATIONAL BEHAVIOR PERSPECTIVE |
| IMPACT OF DENTISTRY AND AESTHETIC SURGERY ON SUSTAINABLE HEALTH TOURISM IN RURAL AREAS: A THEORETICAL MODEL |
| CYBERSECURITY IN THE DIGITAL AGE: REGULATORY FRAMEWORK BASED ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE NIS2 DIRECTIVE |
| CONTRIBUTION TO INTRODUCING A CARBON TAX IN THE REPUBLIC OF CROATIA |

| REVISION OF SIGNIFICANC Luka Vukic, Ivan | E IN CROAT | IA - PORT O | | | | |
|---|-------------------------|-------------------|-------------|----------|--------------|-------|
| STRATEGIC MANAGEMEN Ivan Peronja, Tih | T, CASE STU | DY OF MAR | RINAS | | | |
| THE IMPACT (PERCEPTION Vlatka Ruzic, Bra | OF CYBER S | ECURITY R | ISKS | | | |
| OFFICE MAN A CROATIAN EX Goran Vojkovic | | | | | | |
| APPLICATION | OF BLOCK | | | | | |
| Ivan Norsic, Mis | lav Ante Omaz | | oic | ••••• | ••••• | 632 |
| HOW TO ASSE Tomislav Rados | SS DIGITAL | TRANSFOR | MATION REA | ADINESS | •••••••••••• | 643 |
| FOREIGN FI PROTECTION Mirko Smoljic, Z | OF INVESTO | | ` ′ | | | |
| ANALYSIS OF THE SUPPLY (EQUIPMENT N Luka Samarzija, | CHAIN EFFE MANUFACTU | CTIVENESS JRER | OF THE WO | RLD'S LE | ADING OUT | DOOR |
| THE ROLE OF | | | GENCE IN AC | | | |
| Simay Sezen Sar | al, Ivana Marti | ncevic, Vesna | Sesar | •••••• | ••••••••• | V / T |
| THE IMPACT DIGITAL BUSI Daniela Gracan, I | NESS MODE | LS FOR CHA | ARTER IN TH | | _ | _ |
| APPLICATION EDUCATION S Petra Kuhar, Dar | SYSTEM | | | | | |
| EXPLORING T THE TRANSFI | ER OF KNOV | VLEDGE BE | | VERSITIE | S AND INDU | USTRY |
| Marko Malenica | | | | | | |

| THE IMPACT OF GENDER AND AGE ON PERCEIVED ETHICAL STANDARDS AND THE TRUTHFULNESS OF ONLINE INFORMATION |
|--|
| CROATIAN BANKS A YEAR AFTER EURO INTRODUCTION |
| CAN THE EMBEDMENT OF THE EUROPEAN UNION LEGAL ORDER BE A SOCIAL MARKET ECONOMY? |
| ANALYSIS OF THE SATISFACTION OF BUSINESS ENTITIES OF THE REPUBLIC OF CROATIA WITH THE USE OF PUBLIC ADMINISTRATION E-SERVICES 741 Valentina Vinsalek Stipic, Zlatko Cesic, Ivana Arbanas |
| INTRODUCTION TO BLOCKCHAIN TECHNOLOGY |
| DIGITAL TRANSFORMATION AND ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE IN TEACHING FOREIGN LANGUAGE FOR SPECIFIC PURPOSES: A PROPOSAL OF A CULTURE TEACHING STRATEGY MODEL |
| THE STEALTH LEADERS: UNVEILING THE COMPETITIVE EDGE OF CROATIAN HIDDEN CHAMPIONS |
| SOCIAL NETWORKS ACTIVITIES OF YOUNG PEOPLE AND ITS IMPACT ON CYBERCRIME IN THE REPUBLIC OF CROATIA |
| UNVEILING IMPULSIVE PATTERNS: CONSUMER CHARACTERISTICS IN ONLINE AND BRICK-AND-MORTAR SHOPPING |

ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE IN TOURISM: CROATIAN CONSUMERS' PERCEPTION AND ATTITUDES

Barbara Pisker

Josip Juraj Strossmayer University of Osijek, Faculty of Tourism and Rural Development in Pozega, Croatia bpisker@ftrr.hr

Mirjana Radman-Funaric

Josip Juraj Strossmayer University of Osijek, Faculty of Tourism and Rural Development in Pozega, Croatia mradmanfunaric@ftrr.hr

Hrvoje Kukina

AI Center Lipik, Croatia hkukina@outlook.com

ABSTRACT

This paper focuses on applying artificial intelligence (AI) systems in tourism, especially in accommodation and hospitality services, and the Croatian consumer's perceptions and attitudes. Various technology acceptance model theories and previous research in AI development and application in the tourism sector have been cross-examined and presented in the theoretical part of the paper, contributing to the topic elaboration and deeper understanding of crucial influential factors regarding consumer perception and attitudes towards AI in tourism deployment. The research part of the paper presents methods used to collect primary data and outlines, validates and discusses the results obtained. The research data collection method was an online questionnaire using the snowball method created with a series of qualitative and quantitative questions conducted during the 2024 year in Croatia on a representative sample. The research results reveal noticeable differences regarding the specific characteristics in respondents' demographic and socio-economic profiles within their perception and attitudes towards artificial intelligence use and application in the tourism sector.

Keywords: artificial intelligence, tourism, hospitality, technology acceptance, consumer, perception

1. INTRODUCTION

Artificial intelligence (AI) has rapidly revolutionized our lives and experiences in a contemporary, technology-driven society. It is defined as "a machine-based system designed to operate with varying levels of autonomy, and that may exhibit adaptiveness after deployment and that, for explicit or implicit objectives, infers, from the input it receives, how to generate outputs such as predictions, content, recommendations, or decisions that can influence physical or virtual environments" (EU Artificial Intelligence Act 2024). Al's omnipresence is comprehensive and inevitable throughout our socio-economic landscape. The term AI in this paper refers to humanoid robots: modern, high-tech devices that use AI to perform different tasks in tourism and hospitality services. An extensive AI socio-economic shift has consequently overflowed the tourism industry, shaping it into a new AI-enriched and supplemented form through different applications and embedded systems, changing traditional practices and established business conduct. Nowadays, we find different AI systems already embedded in the tourism sector, including recommend systems, personalization systems and techniques, conversational systems (chatbots and voice assistants), forecasting tools,

autonomous agents, language translation applications, intelligent tourism destinations, and humanoid robots (Bulchand-Gidumal, 2022). This paper primarily focuses on presenting Croatian consumers' perceptions and attitudes concerning AI in tourism and hospitality services. The research question was: How do Croatian consumers' demographic and socioeconomic profiles influence their perception and attitudes towards AI usage and application in tourism and hospitality services, aiming to benefit service providers and users while contributing to broader frame policy recommendations? The paper comprises five main parts: introduction, literature review, method, sample and data collection, results and discussion. Finally, the conclusion follows, involving research limitations and further research recommendations.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

Thorstein Veblen's (1899) theory of technological determinism is not a novelty. It leans towards the idea that technological advancements play a crucial driving force and a primary role in shaping socio-economic structures, seeing technological innovations as a critical driver of societal evolution. Changes in technology lead to a broad domino effect shift in the overall societal organization, including its values, norms and institutions. In contemporary society, individuals often engage in extravagant consumption not primarily for practical purposes but to display their social status and wealth. According to Veblen (1899), this behaviour is influenced by the prevailing technological and socio-economic conditions attributed equally towards consumer motives in tourism from its early stage of development (Christou, 2022). In support of the thesis on technological determinism (Veblen, 1899), it is expected by the year 2030 that humanoid robots will make up about 25% of the hotel industry's labour force, performing tasks that were previously performed solely by humans (Bowen & Morosan, 2018). Therefore, predicting and understanding consumer perceptions and attitudes towards implementing technological AI innovation in tourism and hospitality services is highly relevant. The importance of the research topic is additionally emphasized from the aspect of the Croatian tourism and hospitality sector's significant labour force shortage (Gašparović, 2022). Fred Davis (1987), Fred Davis and Richard Bagozzi (1989) developed Technology Accepted Models (TAM), and Venkatesh et al. (2003) advanced a model towards a Unified Theory of Acceptance and Use of Technology (UTAUT). Both theories provide insights into the factors influencing technology adoption, categorized as perceived ease of use, usefulness, behavioural intention, actual system usage, social influence, and facilitating conditions. These models have been influential in shaping research and guiding the development and implementation of new technologies in various domains, including tourism and hospitality service novelties. AI services and humanoid robots are already used throughout tourism and hospitality services, interacting simply and clearly with customers to perform specific tasks. However, the acceptance of AI systems and humanoid robots in the service industry is strongly influenced by customers' perceptions of their similarities with humans (Anthropomorphism theory). Van Pinxteren et al. (2019) show that anthropomorphism drives consumer trust, intention to use, and enjoyment of AI and humanoid robots in the enhanced service sector. It is a practical complementary dimension to the future of tourism and hospitality. It makes it more straightforward for customers to arrange travel and use automated, customized and insightful services available (Samala et al., 2020). Ivanov et al. (2018) reveal in their customer preferences research how consumers' attitudes towards having services performed for them by robots are primarily driven by general attitudes towards robots, a recognition of the advantages of robots compared to humans, experience with robots, and their social skills as defined by TAM and UTAUT theories as well as theory of anthropomorphism. Similar findings confirming anthropomorphism theory as favourable in customer service performance are proven by Christou et al. (2020).

A conceptual model of service robotics in hospitality, including customer experience and feedback, service intelligence, data security, responsibility allocation, and human-robot interaction, brings a systematic review of topics primarily related to future service developments (Yang & Chew, 2021). Proving the positive aspects of AI-enhanced services in tourism was also shown through the analysis of the perceptions of future tourism and hospitality, revealing a significantly positive impact on the willingness of participants to work in a partial robot environment in the future (Palrão et al., 2023). Cheriyan et al. (2022) revealed how AI solutions still do not fully fulfil the tasks given: the need to be more intelligent and keep learning about the various scenarios is advised. Belanche Gracia et al. (2022) reveal how attributions mediate the relationships between affinity toward the robot and customer behavioral intentions to use and recommend service robots. Specifically, a customer's affinity toward the service robot positively affects service improvement attribution, influencing customer behavioural intentions. In contrast, affinity negatively affects cost reduction attribution, which harms behavioural intentions. Finally, human likeness has a positive influence on affinity. Striking the right balance in combining human-supplemented AI services is the ultimate value of the future tourism and hospitality sector. Adoption and implementation of AI, humanoid robots, and automated services in the tourism and hospitality sector are dependent on labour and technology costs, customers' readiness and willingness to adapt to AIenhanced environments, and cross-cultural characteristics of both consumers and service providers aligned with technological advancements and characteristics (Ivanov & Webster, 2017). We are entering a brave new world of robonomics in all aspects of socio-economic realities, including tourism and hospitality services. With the rapidly declining value of human labour in the tourism and hospitality industry and the rapidly increasing capabilities of AI services and humanoid robots, business models and taxation models must be altered to deal with this new reality (Ivanov & Webster, 2020).

3. METHOD, SAMPLE AND DATA COLLECTION

The research data collection method was an online questionnaire using the snowball method, created with a series of qualitative and quantitative questions conducted during the 2024 year in Croatia on a representative sample. The overall questionnaire construction aligns with Roopa and Rani's (2012) recommendations. It comprises 34 questions, eight related to sociodemographic characteristics, 16 scaling respondents' attitudes (Likert, 1932) towards AI services in tourism and hospitality, and the rest related to the respondent's previous tourism and hospitality experience and future AI technology-supplemented service expectations. The data was collected in March 2024 using a convenient sample of 550 respondents who filled out online Google Forms. Google service data collection enabled direct entry of respondents' answers and sample control. Data are collected according to the principle of snowball (Goodman, 1961). This type of sample does not guarantee the representativeness of the population, but it provides a more representative sample of the general population compared to traditional methods of data collection (LeBlanc et al., 2023). In this case, the sample made it possible to determine the differences in certain socio-demographic groups of respondents. The statistical software SPSS version 21 was used for data analysis. Frequencies were calculated for all variables, and when they were adequate, data distribution tests were performed, most often to test the normality of the distribution. In data analysis, the Chi-square test, Kendall taub, t-test, and analysis of variance (ANOVA-test) were performed depending on the types of variables. The paper highlights the results when statistically significant differences at the p< 0.05 level were determined.

4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The analysis of the frequency of use in tourist accommodation and hospitality services showed that about a third of respondents use these services on average five or more times a year, representing the largest share. More than half of the respondents use accommodation services more than three times a year. In contrast, only 5.5% of the research participants do not use such services for tourism or business purposes.

| Frequency of usage of the service | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|------|-------|-------|-------|------|--------|--------|
| Number of services | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 or > | |
| Number of respondents | 30 | 105 | 120 | 76 | 41 | 178 | 550 |
| % respondents | 5,5% | 19,1% | 21,8% | 13,8% | 7,5% | 32,4% | 100,0% |

Table 1: Frequency of respondents' usage of tourist accommodation and hospitality services (Source: authors primary data research)

The respondents' answers differ significantly according to age. Kendall's tau-b coefficient (0.206) is statistically significant (p<0.05), which indicates the existence of a correlation, assuming that age is an independent variable. The difference is visible in respondents who travelled at least three times in one year. There are less than 30% of such respondents aged 18-25, while the share in all age categories is more significant than 50%. Respondents' preferences regarding the frequency of use do not differ according to place of residence (urban or rural population). Men use these services slightly more than women (χ 2=17.718, p<0.05), but this difference is mainly seen in the frequency of 5 or more journey times. Overall, 41.7% of men and 26.3% of women travel five or more times yearly for tourism or business purposes. As expected, monthly net income is correlated with the frequency of travel and respondents' usage of tourist accommodation and hospitality services. (Kendall's tau-b 0.297, p<0.05). 51.2% of people with monthly income above 1,901 EUR, 45.8% with income from 1,601 to 1,900 EUR, and 29.2% of respondents with income from 1,001 to 1,300 EUR use tourism and hospitality services at least five times a year. 14% of respondents with a monthly income lower than EUR 680 do not travel even once a year, and only 1.6% of respondents with an income higher than EUR 1,901 do not travel. Work status was also an essential factor (χ 2=68.955, p<0.05) in the respondents' travel and service usage frequency, which was certainly expected. 63.1% of unemployed people travel less than three times a year (0, 1 and 2 times a year), 75.7% of students, 48.8% of pensioners and 36.7% of employed people. 36.9% of unemployed people travel three or more times a year, 24.3% of students, 51.2% of pensioners and 63.3% of employed people. Positive student relations towards a partially AI-enhanced working environment are also revealed by Palrão et al. (2023). The situation is expected to differ regarding tourist or business trips abroad or using accommodation and hospitality services. The largest share of respondents travel abroad once a year (31.8%) or twice (21.5%), making up about half of the respondents together. 16.7% of respondents do not travel abroad. Age is a variable by which the respondents differ significantly ($\chi 2=33.721$, p<0.05). 13.1% of respondents aged 18-25 travel abroad three or more times a year, and 20.8% have not travelled even once. 43.1% of students travel once a year, while less than 30% of other age groups travel once a year. Respondents aged 55-64 (37.7%) travel three or more times a year, followed by those aged 26-34 (36.7%) and 34.8% aged 35-54. Kendall's tau-b is 0.131 (p<0.05), which confirms a specific connection between the number of trips abroad and the age of the respondents.

| Frequency of trips abroad | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|------|--------|--------|
| Number of trips | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 or > | |
| Number of respondents | 92 | 175 | 118 | 68 | 26 | 71 | 550 |
| % respondents | 16,7% | 31,8% | 21,5% | 12,4% | 4,7% | 12,9% | 100,0% |

Table 2: Frequency of respondents' trips travelled abroad (Source: authors primary data research)

It is also expected that people with higher incomes travel abroad more. In the group of respondents with the highest income (above EUR 1,901), 24% travel abroad five or more times, and 46.4% travel at least three times a year. A similar percentage of respondents (45.9%) also applies to the following income category (1,601-1,900 EUR per month). Questions designed to determine existing attitudes about artificial intelligence followed. Respondents were asked to indicate their degree of agreement with the following statements about AI, with a mark of "1" indicating "strongly disagree" and a mark of "5" indicating "strongly agree."

| | Strongly disagree | Disagree | Neither agree nor disagree | Agree | Strongly agree | X | SD |
|---|-------------------|----------|----------------------------------|-------|----------------|------|-------|
| Interacting with the AI is exciting and fun. | 11,1 | 10,7 | 38,4 | 32,0 | 7,8 | 3,15 | 1,079 |
| Interacting with the AI is pleasant | 9,6 | 15,3 | 38,9 | 29,5 | 6,7 | 3,08 | 1,047 |
| AI has its own will and emotions | 38,9 | 28,5 | 23,8 | 6,4 | 2,4 | 2,05 | 1,046 |
| People who use AI have a higher social status | 23,6 | 27,6 | 30,9 | 14,9 | 2,9 | 2,46 | 1,094 |
| I believe that the widespread use of AI will reduce inequalities in society | 22,2 | 27,5 | 34,9 | 12,5 | 2,9 | 2,47 | 1,058 |

Table 3: Respondents' views on AI application and usage in accommodation and hospitality service (Source: authors primary data research)

Respondents have a slightly positive attitude about the fun and excitement of AIs' pleasance in usage. On the contrary, respondents mostly disagree that AI has its own will and emotions, and most of them do not think that people who use AI have a higher social status or that the spread of AI use will lead to a reduction of social inequalities. However, the analysis of variance (ANOVA-test) (F=6.368, p<0.05) found that there are specific differences when looking at particular groups of respondents regarding these statements. The ANOVA test revealed a statistically significant difference between the age groups and the post-hoc Tukey B^{a,b} analysis found that the youngest respondents still have a significantly more positive attitude towards the excitement and fun of interacting with AI than all other groups (for them, the arithmetic mean of this variable is 3.55, and for all others around 3.00). Such differences between the groups were also found in terms of comfort. The 26-34 age group is on the borderline but also significantly different.

| | Interactin | g with the Al | is exciting | Interacting with the AI is pleasant | | | |
|----------------|------------|---------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------|------|--|
| Age categories | N | | alpha = 0.05 | N | Subset for alpha = 0.05 | | |
| | | 1 2 | | | 1 | 2 | |
| 55-64 | 101 | 3,00 | | 233 | 2,94 | | |
| 35-54 | 233 | 3,01 | | 101 | 2,97 | | |
| 65+ | 37 | 3,08 | | 37 | 3,00 | | |
| 26-34 | 49 | 3,08 | | 49 | 3,10 | 3,10 | |
| 18-25 | 130 | | 3,55 | 130 | | 3,45 | |

Means for groups in homogeneous subsets are displayed.

a. Uses Harmonic Mean Sample Size = 72,129.

b. The group sizes are unequal. The harmonic mean of the group sizes is used. Type I error levels are not guaranteed.

Table 4: Tukey $B^{a,b}$ analysis of differences in respondents' attitudes about AI (Source: authors primary data research)

Respondents are very homogeneous in their attitudes regarding these issues when looking at the variable frequency of travel in general, especially travel abroad. In other words, respondents who travel very often and those who do not travel at all agree with all these statements. More than half of the respondents (56.4%) have used services that included AI when booking accommodation, talking to chatbots, and using Skyscanner. 31.6% of participants gave a negative answer to this question, and the answer was "No, I do not know, I am not sure", the remaining 12.0%. It was found that respondents older than 65 years significantly reduced these positive responses; namely, only 29.7% of them gave a positive answer to this question $(\chi 2=20.979, p<0.05)$, which makes them much different from other age groups. It was found that women who used the mentioned services slightly more included some form of AI $(\chi 2=9.257, p<0.05)$, unlike as reported by Ivanov et al. (2018), where no demographic variables explored played a role in shaping attitudes towards service in hotels by AI humanoid robots. As expected, these services were used more by those who needed accommodation services in general and even more so by those who often travel abroad. People who travel abroad more often need services like Google Translator, which are based precisely on the use of AI. The research also revealed that respondents see that some traditional functions in tourism (such as the receptionist at the front desk of hotels) could even disappear, as in the case of Bowen and Morosan (2018). However, as Sigala (2018) mentions, it is not the case that machines and technologies cannot perform the tasks that humans are currently best at: AI is still more expensive to perform such tasks. Future developments of AI in the service industry can thus augment their contemporary capacities in AI augmentation and hybridization (Benckendorff et al., 2019). In this regard, one of the main challenges of the tourism industry is that it could lose its tangible sense of hospitality (Bowen & Morosan, 2018), which is one of the industry's fundamental features. Consumers' lack of trust (Van Pinxteren, 2019), potential discomfort of using AI-enhanced services, and cost savings will remain untapped (Allmendinger & Lombreglia, 2005; Bitner, 2001), while successfully integrating AI-enhanced service interactions have the potential to benefit both service consumers and providers (Allmendinger & Lombreglia, 2005; Meuter et al., 2000). When humans perceive interaction comfort as high, they tend to anthropomorphize robots with more human-like appearance (Van Pinxteren et al., 2019) and embrace technology solutions in line with the theory of techno determinism (Veblen, 1899).

An additional notion needs to be enclosed, as revealed by Hou et al. (2021), confirming how a destination that is more (vs less) crowded generally motivates tourists to favour robot-provided services rather than those from human staff. Furthermore, their findings reveal that this pattern manifests because more (vs. less) social crowding reduces tourists' motivation to interact with others, as evidenced by the social withdrawal tendency. Regarding this, it is relevant to balance the sustainability and absorption capacities of the destination, especially in premium, high-quality streaming tourism services, keeping the human labour force service as a critical element of top-brand tourist and hospitality service and destination features.

5. CONCLUSION

Technological change's influential role in shaping social structures, patterns of consumption, and the distribution of wealth and power within society has always been a driving socioeconomic force, in line with techno-determinist theory. Technology is a driving force behind societal evolution, with economic and social institutions adapting in response to technological innovations and imperatives. While AI revolutionizes all aspects of our experience, the tourism and hospitality industry cannot stand aside. Service providers are to fit consumers' expectations into their services while improving various aspects of traveller experiences and business operations. Widespread adoption of AI potentially raises legal, ethical, social and transhuman issues, cross-cultural and economic challenges and new research that must be carefully addressed to ensure a balanced and equitable approach to AI integration in tourism and hospitality services, an assignment task in our future research challenges. Additionally, responsibility, inclusiveness and collaborative human-robot design and implementation are essential principles to guide future research and practice in this area (Fusté-Forné & Jamal, 2021). Although this research paper is narrowed down to Croatian consumers' attitudes and experiences, we emphasize AI certainty in enhanced tourism experience throughout future developments of experiential services. Its current technological outreach, however, still needs to reach the human touch, which is an essential determinant of experiential tourism, as the results of this research have also confirmed.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT: This paper is a result of the primary scientific research funded by University Josip Juraj Strossmayer of Osijek, Faculty of Tourism and Rural Development in Pozega, throughout the Internal Scientific Research Project Fund in the Academic year 2023/24, on the topic of Socio-economic Aspects of AI Application in the Tourism Sector.

LITERATURE:

- 1. Allmendinger, G. and Lombreglia, R. (2005). Four strategies for the age of smart services. *Harvard Business Review*, 83(10), p.131.
- 2. Belanche Gracia, D., Casalo, L.V. and Flavián, C. (2022). Frontline Robots in Tourism and Hospitality: Service Enhancement or Cost Reduction? *Belanche, D., Casaló, LV, & Flavián, C.*(2021). Frontline robots in tourism and hospitality: service enhancement or cost reduction, pp.477-492.
- 3. Bowen, J. and Morosan, C. (2018). Beware the hospitality industry: the robots are coming. *Worldwide Hospitality and Tourism Themes*, 10(6), pp.726-733.
- 4. Bulchand-Gidumal, J. (2022). Impact of artificial intelligence in travel, tourism, and hospitality. In *Handbook of e-Tourism* (pp. 1943-1962). Cham: Springer International Publishing.
- 5. Cheriyan, A., Sharma, R.K., Joseph, A. & Kappil, S.R. (2022). Consumer acceptance towards AI-enabled chatbots; case of travel and tourism industries. *Journal of Positive School Psychology*, 6(3), 3880–3889.

- 6. Christou, P., Simillidou, A. and Stylianou, M.C. (2020). Tourists' perceptions regarding the use of anthropomorphic robots in tourism and hospitality. *International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management*, 32(11), pp.3665-3683.
- 7. Christou, P.A., 2022. The history and evolution of tourism. CABI.
- 8. D. Belanche, L. V. Casaló, and C. Flavián (2021). Frontline robots in tourism and hospitality: service enhancement or cost reduction? *Electronic Markets*, *vol. 31*, no. 3, pp. 477–492, 2021.
- 9. Davis, F. D. (1987). User acceptance of information systems: the technology acceptance model (TAM).
- 10. Davis, F. D., Bagozzi, R. P., & Warshaw, P. R. (1989). User acceptance of computer technology: A comparison of two theoretical models. *Management Science*, 35(8), 982–1003.
- 11. Fusté-Forné, F. and Jamal, T. (2021). Co-creating new directions for service robots in hospitality and tourism. *Tourism and Hospitality*, 2 (1), 43-61.
- 12. Gašparović, M. (2022). Strana radna snaga u hrvatskom i europskom turizmu. Završni rad. Sveučilište u Zagrebu, Ekonomski fakultet. Retrieved 14.4.2024. from https://urn.nsk.hr/urn:nbn:hr:148:150357
- 13. Goodman, L. A. (1961). Snowball Sampling. *The Annals of Mathematical Statistics*, *32*(1), 148–170. http://www.jstor.org/stable/2237615
- 14. Hou, Y., Zhang, K. & Li, G. (2021). Service robots or human staff: How social crowding shapes tourist preferences. *Tourism Management*, 83, p.104242.
- 15. Ivanov, S. & Webster, C. (2019). What should robots do? A comparative analysis of industry professionals, educators and tourists. In *Information and Communication Technologies in Tourism 2019: Proceedings of the International Conference in Nicosia, Cyprus, January 30–February 1, 2019* (pp. 249–262). Springer International Publishing.
- 16. Ivanov, S. & Webster, C. (2020). Robots in tourism: A research agenda for tourism economics. *Tourism Economics*, 26(7), 1065–1085.
- 17. Ivanov, S., Webster, C. & Seyyedi, P. (2018). Consumers' attitudes towards the introduction of robots in accommodation establishments. *Tourism: An International Interdisciplinary Journal*, 66(3), 302–317.
- 18. Ivanov, S.H. & Webster, C. (2017). Adoption of robots, artificial intelligence and service automation by travel, tourism and hospitality companies—a cost-benefit analysis. *Artificial Intelligence and Service Automation by Travel, Tourism and Hospitality Companies—A Cost-Benefit Analysis*.
- 19. J. Bowen and C. Morosan. (2018). Beware hospitality industry: the robots are coming, *Worldwide Hospitality and Tourism Themes, vol. 10*, no. 6, pp. 726–733.
- 20. LeBlanc, A.G., Barnes, J.D., Saunders, T.J., Tremblay, M.S. & Chaput, J.P. (2023). Scientific sinkhole: estimating the cost of peer review based on survey data with snowball sampling. *Research Integrity and Peer Review*, 8(1), 3.
- 21. Likert, R., (1932). A technique for the measurement of attitudes. *Archives of psychology, p.* 22 140, 55.
- 22. Meuter, M.L., Ostrom, A.L., Roundtree, R.I. and Bitner, M.J. (2000). Self-service technologies: understanding customer satisfaction with technology-based service encounters. *Journal of Marketing*, 64(3), pp.50–64.
- 23. Palrão, T., Rodrigues, R.I., Madeira, A., Mendes, A.S. and Lopes, S. (2023). Robots in Tourism and Hospitality: The Perception of Future Professionals. *Human Behavior and Emerging Technologies*, 2023.
- 24. Roopa, S. and Rani, M.S. (2012). Questionnaire designing for a survey. *Journal of Indian Orthodontic Society*, 46 (4_suppl1), pp.273-277.

- 25. Samala, N., Katkam, B.S., Bellamkonda, R.S. and Rodriguez, R.V. (2020). Impact of AI and robotics in the tourism sector: a critical insight. *Journal of Tourism Futures*, 8(1), pp.73-87.
- 26. The European Parlament. (2024). Artificial Intelligence Act. Retrieved 12.4.2024. from https://www.europarl.europa.eu/doceo/document/TA-9-2024-0138_EN.pdf
- 27. Van Pinxteren, M.M., Wetzels, R.W., Rüger, J., Pluymaekers, M. and Wetzels, M. (2019). Trust in humanoid robots: implications for services marketing. *Journal of Services Marketing*, *33*(4), pp.507-518.
- 28. Veblen, T. (1899). Mr Cummings's Strictures on" The Theory of the Leisure Class". *Journal of Political Economy*, 8 (1), 106–117.
- 29. Venkatesh, V., Morris, M. G., Davis, G. B., & Davis, F. D. (2003). User acceptance of information technology: Toward a unified view. *MIS Quarterly*, 425-478.
- 30. Yang, J. & Chew, E. (2021). A systematic review for service humanoid robotics model in hospitality. *International Journal of Social Robotics*, 13(6), pp.1397-1410.